IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4981 OF 2005

Shri Vinod M. Soningra ...Applicant
Vs.
Suman Dhanraj Mali & Ors. ...Respondents

Shri S.Y. Katkade for the Applicant.
Shri Saikumar P.M. for the Respondent No.1.
Shri K.V. Saste, A.P.P. for the State.

CORAM : S.C. DHARMADHIKARI, J.
DATE : DECEMBER 19, 2005.

P.C.:

1. Heard Shri Katkade appearing for the original complainant. After hearing Shri Katkade for sometime, and perusing the affidavit filed in reply, in my view, no case is made out for cancellation of the order of the Anticipatory Bail passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Mumbai. Needless to state that all observations made by the learned Sessions Judge are for the disposal of the Anticipatory Bail Application. They are always primafacie and cause prejudice to any court while trying the case which has to be tried on its own merits and uninfluenced by any such observations as well as in accordance with law. With these observations and clarification, Application dismissed.

JUDGE